

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	1			
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/696,765	10/25/2000	Bob Lamoureux	W0001-006001	3130
28089 7590 07/24/2007 WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE AND DORR LLP 399 PARK AVENUE			EXAMINER	
			BUCHANAN, CHRISTOPHER R	
NEW YORK, NY 10022			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3627	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	,		07/24/2007	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

teresa.carvalho@wilmerhale.com tina.dougal@wilmerhale.com michael.mathewson@wilmerhale.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/696,765	LAMOUREUX ET AL.				
Interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
•	Christopher R. Buchanan	3627				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) Christopher R. Buchanan.	(3) <u>Michel Halis</u> .					
(2) <u>Irah Donner</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: 21 June 2007.						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)  Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:						
Claim(s) discussed: Independent claims from from '765 and from related case 09/696,754.						
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Lipkin, Bowman</u> .						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) № N/A.						
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Applicants explained new amendments to the claims and pointed out the differences between the claimed inventions and the prior art of record. Possible additional limitations were suggested by the examiner should the claims not be found in condition for allowance.</u>						
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)						
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
		•				

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required